

UNITED STATE **TEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE** United States Parent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/446,326

12/20/99

DELF

MERCK 2038

IM31/0503

MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I SUITE 1400 2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD ARLINGTON VA 22201

NGUYEN, K **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

1774

DATE MAILED:

05/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/446,326	DELP ET AL.
and Adding Command		Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	
	Kimberly T. Nguye	en 1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence address
t team Danie		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office tater than three months after the meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136 (a). In no event, howev n. a reply within the statutory minin eriod will apply and will expire SI	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2h)\(\overline{\text{N}}\)	This action is non-fin	nal.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	Use and expent for for	rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	:
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from considera	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[7] Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction a	and/or election require	ment.
Application Papers		
The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
is/are objection	ected to by the Examin	er.
10) The proposed drawing correction filed or	ı is: a)∏ appro	oved b)□ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a daily for	loroigh phone, and a	-
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	uments have been rec	eived.
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc 2.□ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been rec	ceived in Application No
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	ne priority documents t	have been received in this National Stage
application from the internation	or a list of the certified	copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	or domestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	40\	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-17) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paperson 	D-948) 19)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/446,326

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "PS" in line 19, page 2 of the applicant has not been previously defined. In addition, the terms "PBT" and "PET" in line 23, page 2 have not been previously defined in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "characterized in that" in claims 1-12 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "characterized in that" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Changing the term "characterized in that" to "wherein" would bring the scope of the claims within accepted U.S. practice.

Claim 1 is rejected because the term "intrinsically" is unclear. What is an intrinsically laser-markable polymer? The term "intrinsically" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is the examiner's suggestion that the term "intrinsically" be omitted from the claims.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/446,326

Art Unit: 1774

The term "difficult" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "difficult" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 4 and 8 recite the limitation "the plastic system" in lines 19 and 37, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Furthermore, the plastic system has not been defined in the specification.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 shows that the particle structure of the intrinsically markable polymer is "retained in" the plastic. It is unclear as to what is meant by "retained in" since it is apparent that the plastic comprises the polymer as already stated in claim 1.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the light sensitive pigments" and "the plastic system" in lines 36 and 37, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 provides for the use of the laser-markable plastics according to claim 1 as material for producing mouldings which are marked with the aid of lasers, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,326

Art Unit: 1774

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

2. The phrase "high-temperature-resistant plastic" in claim 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The specification shows that micromilled thermoplastics with melting temperatures of 300 degrees Celsius or greater are suitable, but does not define that a "high-temperature-resistant plastic" is known as having a melting point of 300 degrees Celsius or more.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/30716 in further view of EP 0 367 629.

WO 95/30716 shows laser markable plastics which are difficult to be marked by a laser such as polyoxymethylene, polyester, polyethylene, polypropylene, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), and polyamides (page 2, line 33 to page 6, line 17). The plastics are used to make

Application/Control Number: 09/446,326

Art Unit: 1774

moulds and contain from .05 to 10% of absorber material that can be laser-marked. WO 94/30716 shows that the absorber material is a polymer such as polyimides and that the polymer particles have a diameter of 1 to 100 μ m (page 25, lines 1-25). The plastic may also contain up to about .02% of light-sensitive pigments such as metal oxides (page 20, line 24 to page 21, line 8).

WO 95/30716 does not specifically show that the absorber material is a high-temperature-resistant plastic; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polyimide as the absorber material because it is known as a high temperature resistant plastic. WO 95/30716 also does not show that the laser-markable plastics comprise colour pigments, yet it shows the use of light-sensitive pigments. Furthermore, although WO 95/30716 shows that the plastics are used to produce molded bodies, it does not show moldings which consist of the laser-markable plastic according to Applicant's claim 1.

EP 0 367 629 shows a polymer composition which can be laser marked and contains a polymer dispersed as a light-scattering particle (absorber material) which can be used in various products (page 2, lines 1-2). Suitable particles include polysulphones, polyimides, polyphenylene sulfphides, and liquid crystal polymers (page 2, lines 23-25) and comprise .5 to 15% of the composition of the plastic (page 2, lines 11-13). In addition, EP 0 367 629 shows that the plastics may include pigments along with other minor additives (page 2, lines 20-22). Claim 6 of EP 0 367 629 shows any particle having a surface made of the plastic composition with the surface being laser-markable (page 6, lines 9-10). Although claim 6 of EP 0 367 629 shows that the surface is made of the polymer composition, it would be obvious to use the

Art Unit: 1774

composition of EP 0 367 629 in moldings because the laser-marking is carried out to mark the surface of such products.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the color pigments of EP 0 367 629 in the laser-markable plastics of WO 95/30716 because the invention of WO 95/30716 employs the light-sensitive pigments to impart a particular color.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kimberly Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-3559 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kimberly T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

SHL & Keds